Communications of the Association for Information Systems

Volume 36 Article 29

4-2015

The Critical Role of Historiography in Writing IS History

Detmar W. W. Straub dstraub@gsu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://aisel.aisnet.org/cais

Recommended Citation

Straub, Detmar W. W. (2015) "The Critical Role of Historiography in Writing IS History," Communications of the Association for Information Systems: Vol. 36, Article 29.

DOI: 10.17705/1CAIS.03629

Available at: https://aisel.aisnet.org/cais/vol36/iss1/29

This material is brought to you by the AIS Journals at AIS Electronic Library (AISeL). It has been accepted for inclusion in Communications of the Association for Information Systems by an authorized administrator of AIS Electronic Library (AISeL). For more information, please contact elibrary@aisnet.org.



Communications of the Association for Information Systems



The Critical Role of Historiography in Writing IS History

Detmar W. Straub
Georgia State University, Atlanta, GA USA
Temple University, Fox School of Business, Philadelphia, PA, USA
Korea University Business School, Seoul, South Korea
dstraub@gsu.edu

Abstract:

Insightful histories of an academic field can only be written when there is sufficient raw material to serve as "grist for the mill" for historians. This is the first task for those who are monumentally interested in preserving the origins of a field from the ravages of time is to collect artifacts—written, verbal, visual, and physical—that can later be used in historical inquiries. But the critical perspective to know what to collect and how much to collect is served by historiography, the science that elaborates on the variety of methods and procedures that historians use. A simple but incomplete set of these variations include: political history, intellectual history, cultural history, and social history. Each of these viewpoints brings with it a different set of assumptions about what is important and, although there is considerable overlap among them, each brings a different set of requirements for artifactual evidence. Historiography should not be overlooked when the field of information systems begins an all-out effort to collect data about the history of the field.

Keywords: IS History, Historiography, Cultural History, Intellectual History, Political History.

Editor's note: The article was handled by Ping Zhang, the Department Editor for History of Information Systems

Volume 36, Article 29, pp. 593-598, April 2015

The manuscript was received 30/04/2014 and was with the authors 4 months for 2 revisions.

Volume 36 Article 29

The Critical Role of Historiography in Writing IS History

I. INTRODUCTION

If one were to ruminate on what activities would be most essential to begin writing the history of any academic field, no doubt the collection of important historical artifacts, both physical and digital, would be extremely high on the list. This is indubitably a critical activity as the IS field enters its second or third generation of existence. It has likely always been an important agenda item for the field (Mason, McKenney, & Copeland, 1997), but the urgency of the moment makes it even more so crucial (Hirschheim, Saunders, & Straub, 2012). Many of the field's original organizers (1950s-1960s) are either retiring or have passed. Thus, it is essential to capture as much information as possible now (and continuing into the future) to serve as grist for the mill of subsequent interpretive historians.

What may not be nearly as clear to present or future historians of the IS field is the central importance of the underlying structural elements of history writing and how this impacts the collection of certain documents and the ignoring of others. Historians adopt a historiographic viewpoint when they write histories, whether they know/are conscious of it or not. This perspective, therefore, needs to be ever-present and, even better, conscious on the part of historians when decisions about what artifacts to collect and how much to collect are made.

Let's think about a simple example of what I am talking about to anchor this discussion in real-world decisions. If a historian is interested in the social history of IS, then gathering data about IS academics' networks, interactions, ethnographic accounts is relevant. If a political history is the primary goal, then raw materials about the "movers and shakers" of the field by professional event or activity is key. These are undoubtedly overlapping sets, but it is possible to focus on one to the detriment of the other and such a decision would be an inexorable one that could likely never be corrected at a later time.

II. CONSIDERING HISTORIOGRAPHIC PERSPECTIVES IN THE COLLECTION OF RAW MATERIAL

Historians place high stock in historiography; that is, the methods and procedures used to interpret the past (a "lens" if you will) and the selected focus on a particular topic, such as the history of Greenland. In Section 3, I present a brief history of historiography to show why this orientation is so important, especially at this, the first major push in recent years to write the history of the IS field.

One of the first commentators on the need to consider how the historian must needs reflect their own period view or lens when writing history was Giambattista Vico in his 1725 opus *Scienza Nuova* (Vico, 1725). Vico argued for the value of other, newer ways of presenting the history of a phenomenon and, in so doing, showed that different eras will approach the interpretation of events in very different ways. In this sense, Vico was the father of historiography and historicism (Berlin, 1976; Hösle, 1990; Tagliacozzo & White, 1969; White, 1976).

III. POLITICAL, INTELLECTUAL, AND CULTURAL HISTORIES OF THE IS FIELD

Varying perspectives on the history of a place and period, for example, might involve a focus on the political, intellectual, or cultural leaders. These would be referred to, respectively, as political, intellectual, or cultural histories and, accordingly, the primary data for writing, for example, the first case, would be interpretations of acts of high-profile political leaders such as dictators, kings, organizers, officials, and presidents as told by contemporaries, previous historians, and/or physical artifacts such as the Bayeux tapestry (which tells of the invasion of England by William the Conqueror in 1066). The IS field has seen published histories with this orientation before (Copeland & McKenney, 1988). In fact, efforts could and should focus on gathering the stories and philosophies of the IS field's "movers and shakers" while they are still active so that future political histories of the field can be written.

As opposed to political histories, intellectual histories have given the world deep knowledge of why people think the way they do. One classic work is Lovejoy's *Great chain of being* (Lovejoy, 1964), which is a first-rate example of this school of historical writing as is Cohn's monumental tome on apocalyptic visions and the coming of the millennium (Cohn, 1957).

In the context of IS's academic field, intellectual history can be readily captured by placing primary material into a digital repository. These would include journal articles, books and book chapters, editorials, white and technical papers, and working papers. Indeed, to date, most of the extant histories of the IS field have been intellectual

Volume 36

Article 29

histories. Articles in the 2012 JAIS special issue on IS history presented numerous examples of this kind of intellectual history (Bélanger & Carter, 2012; Buhl, Roeglinger, Fridgen, & Mueller, 2012; Davern, Te'eni, & Shaft, 2012; Grover, 2012; Hirschheim & Klein, 2012; Hosack, Hall, Paradice, & Courtney, 2012), as do other analyses of research trends that are positioned or contextualized within time periods (Culnan, 1986; Culnan, 1987; Culnan & Seanson, 1986; Sidorova, Evangelopoulos, Valacich, & Ramakirshnan, 2008; Taylor, Dillon, & van Wingen, 2010). Previous efforts to frame or capture important ideas of the field via historical accounts would include Mason et al. (1997) and Copeland and McKenney (1988).

Whereas it is highly likely that cultural histories overlap significantly with intellectual histories, the historian's lens can be described as "cultural" if the stress is on values and beliefs as being distinctive of a group of persons or related to a certain ethnicity. With regard to cultural histories, for instance, C.S. Lewis provides a good example in his study of courtly love through the literature (and peoples) of the ancient world and the Middle Ages in Europe in his The Allegory of courtly love (Lewis, 1936). Another monumental seminal work by Ernst Robert Curtius (Europäische Literatur und Lateinisches Mittelalter, translated into English as European literature and the Latin middle ages), also focuses on how and why a certain group of people adopted Latin as their language of choice for literature and the symbols and icons they used to represent new and old ideas.

In IS, "cultural" histories might stress how different research paradigms study certain problems, with their varying methods, epistemologies, and interpretive centers of gravity. There could be histories of the development of IS security, for instance, that would relate how behavioral scholars view the user, manager, inside-and-outside attacker complexities of the security of organizational and home systems. These might be countered with analytical studies that have mathematically modelled past, current, and future human/technical security systems. Design scientists have also created artifacts that explore the ways in which anti-social individuals penetrate systems and, because this knowledge creation has occurred over time, historians could investigate the context of the assumptions and potential insights offered by the paradigm. Cultural histories could also reveal how qualitative approaches to security have changed in their perspectives and discoveries over time. Cultural histories stress values and beliefs of a subgroup and in this way differ from intellectual histories which stress the ideas themselves. Historical studies almost always use time itself as an important element of interpretation and this gives us a sense for how such cultural histories would eventuate. Moreover, interpretation of causality in human affairs is also an important element in many/most histories and in the IS domain such histories should investigate why certain paradigms developed as they did.

What data should be collected for cultural histories to be later written? Qualitative methods would include interviews with those who were members of the sub-groups, how they experienced the era, and why they did what they did. Rich ethnographic data could also be collected if the scope of the research culture being studied were narrow enough and the time period limited.

For example, how did action research emerge as a significant research culture in the IS field? Richard Baskerville and colleagues (Baskerville & Wood-Harper, 1998; Baskerville & Pries-Heje, 1999) are usually attributed with bringing this methodological paradigm to the IS field, but what is the more complete backstory? How and why did they promote it from its heritage in other social sciences and how did they modify it? What was the underlying culture, including the base epistemology, that allowed them to visualize it and articulate it the way they did? Certainly, culture emerges from the written word (i.e., the published papers as artifacts), but a fuller delineation should also include their stories about what was happening in this time period.

We have excellent examples of such cultural histories already in the field. The Hirscheim and Klein (2012) history dwells on how certain paradigms (in the large) such as qualitative research in IS developed over time. The paper stresses the values and beliefs (including epistemological beliefs) that characterized the paradigm as it struggled for legitimacy in the field. Hosack et al. (2012) also discuss how the DSS community advanced through iterations of design science research (such as GDSS software innovations) and subsequent behavioral studies. This history shows how the research cultures were reacting, quite naturally, to changes in the technological environment.

There is a natural tendency for those who gather historical raw data to focus heavily on political history, which, in the case of IS, would include video interviews with our major journals' current and past editors-in-chiefs. Interviews with other key figures in the field could and should, no doubt, include: the current and past executive directors of AIS; key AIS staff members; past, current, and future chairs of our major conferences; past and present AIS presidents and vice presidents; IS department chairs, LEO award winners; and AIS fellows. A more complete repository could also encompass leaders of the special interest groups (SIGs) and groups that have had a long record of meeting about when ICIS occurs, and doctoral consortium and junior faculty consortia chairs. The list of potential interviewees is, of course, extremely long: it could even include senior and associate journal editors, both past and present, and many other persons in the field who have influenced its organizational-institutional politics and subsequent evolution.

Without such raw material in a readily-accessible repository, future historians will be hamstrung and forced to engage in interpolation and even speculation about why and how certain events played out as they did. Gathering such raw material puts the field in a good position to write political histories of the IS field. As scientists, we can appreciate that historians function at their best when they have empirical evidence to support or confirm their causal theses and hypotheses.

However, I repeat a note of caution about stressing one historiographic viewpoint to the detriment of another. Alternative historiographic views that could be even more telling about the development of our field would be social or cultural histories. In social history, for example, the stories of ordinary people are told and assessed rather than just the leaders, more famous, or wealthier members of society. More on this will appear below.

IV. CURRENT TREND IN HISTORIOGRAPHY: SOCIAL HISTORY

Which aspect(s) of historical interpretation is in vogue today? By determining this, organizers of efforts to capture the raw artifacts for future historians' use would hopefully place more time and resources on gathering the archival data needed to support these avante-garde views of historical writing and public presentation. In fact, social history has been favored by many historians in the last half century in part, no doubt, because it has been an under-represented historical lens throughout the ages. In the 1970s and well into the 1990s, for instance, interest in social history among American professors rose from 31 percent to 41 percent while, at the same time, interest in political history fell by the same percentage from a high of 40 percent (Haber, Kennedy, & Krasner, 1997). A similar shift in academic interest occurred in the UK (cited as "Teachers of history in the universities of the UK 2007—listed by research interest" in Wikipedia (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Historiography)).

This is not to say that we have never seen social histories before this noted resurgence of interest in the 1960s. Over the centuries, there have naturally been many exceptions, such as the English Restoration period's *The diary of Samuel Pepys* covering the period 1660-1669 (Pepys, 1970-1983). Many other diarists throughout the ages have been published, and these works can either be thought of as social histories in their own right or as the primary material for other social historians. Even the *Autobiography of Benjamin Franklin* (1793) holds long passages that reflect on the social history of the early American colonies as does Boswell's monumental biography of Dr. Samuel Johnson for the late 18th century in England (Boswell, 1791). Biographies tend to emphasize the social side of life more and, in doing so, they include elements that describe what life was like as it was lived on a daily basis.

For example, the raw material for future social histories of the USA are being collected by the nonprofit corporation StoryCorps (http://www.npr.org/series/4516989/storycorps). Ordinary citizens can record important life stories in the repository, which will then conceivably be available for historians to understand how lives were lived in a given era of American history. One could argue that social media such as Facebook and Twitter are also automated vehicles for capturing the stories of at least the literate population.

What should organizers of historical projects do to collect raw material for future social historians of the IS field? Perhaps the only perhaps tractable way to do collect the required raw data would be an automated process such as StoryCorps. Individual university staff members (both IS and non-IS staff members) could be invited to record their "stories", which would then be added to the repository. Stories could focus on events or responses to pointed questions posed by the gatherers of historical artifacts or they could take on a free form and ask people to choose their own content. Under all circumstances, the goal would be to collect information, thoughts, feelings, and interpretations while the field was unfolding. In a real sense, thus, this project would be never-ending.

V. CONCLUSION

We are at a crucial junction in the development of the information systems field. We can still capture data that will represent the field's early beginnings and its subsequent development. The vast majority of individuals who initiated the ICIS conferences, for instance, are still alive, even though many others have, sadly, passed on. Fortunately, it is not too late.

But adopting a historiographic viewpoint toward this effort raises the serious question about what exactly we should focus on. Different forms of historical raw data need to be assembled depending on whether the intent is to write a political, intellectual, cultural, or social history of the field. This list is by no means exhaustive, incidentally. I indicate that there have been histories that rely heavily on the technological developments that emerged over time. Whereas we might couch these histories under the rubric of "histories of computing", there is no question that IS studies react and respond to changes in the technological environment as do the practicing IS professionals we serve.

There is no need to debate the merits of each of these at this time. But it is imperative that the data gathering is not so narrowly focused that future historians are crippled in their ability to interpret the past.

Volume 36

Article 29

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This short article represents an expansion and documentation of the ideas first articulated in a 2013 PACIS panel on IS history. I would like to thank Dr. Ping Zhang for putting together this panel, editing the current paper, and leading the IS history effort for AIS.

REFERENCES

Editor's Note: The following reference list contains hyperlinks to World Wide Web pages. Readers who have the ability to access the Web directly from their word processor or are reading the paper on the Web, can gain direct access to these linked references. Readers are warned, however, that:

- 1. These links existed as of the date of publication but are not guaranteed to be working thereafter.
- 2. The contents of Web pages may change over time. Where version information is provided in the References, different versions may not contain the information or the conclusions referenced.
- 3. The author(s) of the Web pages, not AIS, is (are) responsible for the accuracy of their content.
- 4. The author(s) of this article, not AIS, is (are) responsible for the accuracy of the URL and version information.
- Baskerville, R., & Wood-Harper, A.T. (1998). Diversity in information systems action research. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 7(2), 90-107.
- Baskerville, R., & Pries-Heje, J. (1999). Grounded action research: A method for understanding IT in practice. *Accounting, Management and Information Technologies*, *9*(1), 1-23.
- Bélanger, F., & Carter, L. (2012). Digitizing government interactions with constituents: An historical review of e-government research in information systems. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems, 13*(5), 363-394.
- Berlin, I. (1976), Vico and Herder: Two studies in the history of ideas. London: Hogarth Press.
- Boswell, J. (1791). The life of Samuel Johnson. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Buhl, H. U., Roeglinger, M., Fridgen, G., & Mueller, G. (2012). Business and information systems engineering: A complementary approach to information systems—what we can learn from the past and may conclude from present reflection on the future. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, 13(4), 236-253.
- Cohn, N. (1957). The pursuit of the millennium: Revolutionary millenarians and mystical anarchists of the middle ages. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Copeland, D., & McKenney, J. L. (1988). Airline reservations systems: Lessons from history. *MIS Quarterly, 12*(3), 353-370.
- Culnan, M. J. (1986). The intellectual development of management information systems 1972-1982: A co-citation analysis. *Management Science*, 32(2), 156-172.
- Culnan, M. J. (1987). Mapping the intellectual structure of MIS, 1980-1985: A co-citation analysis. *MIS Quarterly*, 11(3), 341-353.
- Culnan, M. J., & Swanson, E. B. (1986). Research in management information systems, 1980-1984: Points of work and reference. *MIS Quarterly, 10*(3), 289-302.
- Davern, M., Te'eni, D., & Shaft, T. (2012). Cognition matters: Enduring questions in cognitive IS research. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, *13*(4), 273-314.
- Franklin, B. (1793). Benjamin Franklin's autobiography: A Norton critical edition. New York: Norton.
- Grover, V. (2012). The information systems field: Making a case for maturity and contribution. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, 13(4), 254-272.
- Haber, S. H., Kennedy, D. M., & Krasner, S. D. (1997). Brothers under the skin: Diplomatic history and international relations. *International Security*, 22(1), 34-43.
- Hirschheim, R., & Klein, H. K. (2012). A glorious and not so-short history of the information systems field. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems, 13*(4), 188-235.
- Hirschheim, R., Saunders, C., & Straub, D. (2012). Historical interpretations of the IS discipline: An introduction to the special issue. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, *13*(4), i-viii.
- Hosack, B., Hall, D., Paradice, D., & Courtney, J. (2012). A look toward the future: Decision support systems research is alive and well. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, *13*(4), pp. 315-340.

ď

- Hösle, V. (1990). Vico und die Idee der Kulturwissenschaft. In V. Hösle & C. Jermann (Eds.), *Prinzipien einer neuen Wissenschaft über die gemeinsame Natur der Völke* (pp.. 31-293). Hamburg: F. Meiner.
- Lewis, C. S. (1936). The allegory of courtly love: A study in medieval tradition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Lovejoy, A. O. (1964). The great chain of being: A study of the history of an idea. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Mason, R. O., McKenney, J. L., & Copeland, D. (1997). Developing an historical tradition in MIS research. *MIS Quarterly*, 21(3), 257-278.
- Pepys, S. (1970-1983). The diary of Samuel Pepys. Berkely, CA: University of California Press.
- Sidorova, A., Evangelopoulos, N., Valacich, J. S., & Ramakirshnan, T. (2008). Uncovering the intellectual core of the information systems discipline. *MIS Quarterly, 32*(3), 467-482.
- Tagliacozzo, G., & White, H. V. e. (1969) *Giambattista vico: An international symposium.* Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Taylor, H., Dillon, S., & van Wingen, M. (2010). Focus and diversity in information systems research: Meeting the dual demands of a healthy applied discipline. *MIS Quarterly*, *34*(4), 647-667.
- Vico, G. (1725). Scienza nuova (T. G. Bergin & M. H. Fisch, Trans.). Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
- White, H. V. (1976). The topics of history: The deep structure of the new science. In G. Tagliacozzo & D. P. Verene (Eds.), *Giambattista vico: Science of humanity*. Baltimore: John Hopkins University Press.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Detmar Straub, a Regents Professor of the University Systems of Georgia and the J. Mack Robinson Distinguished Professor of Information Systems at Georgia State University, has conducted research in the areas of computer security, e-Commerce, technological innovation, international IT studies, and IS research methods. He is also a Visiting Distinguished Professor at the Fox School at Temple University and the Korea University Business School. Detmar has published over 200 papers in journals such as *Communications of the AIS, MIS Quarterly, Management Science, Information Systems Research, Journal of MIS, Journal of AIS, Decision Sciences Journal, Organization Science, Communications of the ACM, Information & Management, IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management, DATA BASE, OMEGA, Academy of Management Executive, and Sloan Management Review.*

Copyright © 2014 by the Association for Information Systems. Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and full citation on the first page. Copyright for components of this work owned by others than the Association for Information Systems must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on servers, or to redistribute to lists requires prior specific permission and/or fee. Request permission to publish from: AIS Administrative Office, P.O. Box 2712 Atlanta, GA, 30301-2712, Attn: Reprints; or via e-mail from ais@aisnet.org.



Association for Information Systems

ISSN: 1529-3181 EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Matti Rossi Aalto University

	۸	10	DI	IRI	IC/	١T١	\sim r	21	2	МЛ	MIT	TEE
4	н	13	т,	UDL	.I.G. <i>F</i>	٩IJ	UI	13	LU	וועוי	VI I I	

Virpi Tuunainen	Matti Rossi	Suprateek Sarker
Vice President Publications	Editor, CAIS	Editor, JAIS
Aalto University	Aalto University	University of Virginia
Robert Zmud	Phillip Ein-Dor	Bernard Tan
AIS Region 1 Representative	AIS Region 2 Representative	AIS Region 3 Representative
University of Oklahoma	Tel-Aviv University	National University of Singapore

CAIS ADVISORY BOARD

ı	7.10 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5			
		University of California at	,	Richard Mason Southern Methodist University
ı		Irvine		
l	Jay Nunamaker	Henk Sol	Ralph Sprague	Hugh J. Watson
l	University of Arizona	University of Groningen	University of Hawaii	University of Georgia

CAIS SENIOR EDITORS

Steve Alter	Michel Avital
University of San Francisco	Copenhagen Business School

CAIS EDITORIAL BOARD

Monica Adya	Dinesh Batra	Tina Blegind Jensen	Indranil Bose
Marquette University	Florida International University	Copenhagen Business School	Indian Institute of Management Calcutta
Tilo Böhmann	Thomas Case	Tom Eikebrokk	Harvey Enns
University of Hamburg	Georgia Southern University	University of Agder	University of Dayton
Andrew Gemino	Matt Germonprez	Mary Granger	Douglas Havelka
Simon Fraser University	University of Nebraska at Omaha	George Washington University	Miami University
Shuk Ying (Susanna) Ho	Jonny Holmström	Tom Horan	Damien Joseph
Australian National University	Umeå University	Claremont Graduate University	Nanyang Technological University
K.D. Joshi	Michel Kalika	Karlheinz Kautz	Julie Kendall
Washington State University	University of Paris Dauphine	Copenhagen Business School	Rutgers University
Nelson King	Hope Koch	Nancy Lankton	Claudia Loebbecke
American University of Beirut	Baylor University	Marshall University	University of Cologne
Paul Benjamin Lowry City University of Hong Kong	Don McCubbrey	Fred Niederman	Shan Ling Pan
	University of Denver	St. Louis University	National University of Singapore
Katia Passerini New Jersey Institute of Technology	Jan Recker Queensland University of Technology	Jackie Rees Purdue University	Jeremy Rose Aarhus University
Saonee Sarker Washington State University	Raj Sharman State University of New York at Buffalo	Thompson Teo National University of Singapore	Heikki Topi Bentley University
Arvind Tripathi University of Auckland Business School	Frank Ulbrich Newcastle Business School	Chelley Vician University of St. Thomas	Padmal Vitharana Syracuse University
Fons Wijnhoven University of Twente	Vance Wilson	Yajiong Xue	Ping Zhang
	Worcester Polytechnic Institute	East Carolina University	Syracuse University

DEPARTMENTS

١	Debate	History of Information	Systems	Papers in French	
١	Karlheinz Kautz	Editor: Ping Zhang		Editor: Michel Kalika	
١	Information Systems and Healthcare		Information Technology and Systems		
١	Editor: Vance Wilson		Editors: Dinesh Batra and Andrew Gemino		

ADMINISTRATIVE

James P. Tinsley	Meri Kuikka	Copyediting by
AIS Executive Director	CAIS Managing Editor	Adam LeBrog, AIS Copyeditor
	Aalto University	

Volume 36 Article 29

Reproduced with permission of copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

